

# **Semi-active Control of Structures Using Current-Controlled MR Fluid Damper**

**Sayed Ahmed Royel**

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Masters of Engineering (Research)**

**Faculty of Engineering Information Technology  
School of Electrical, Mechanical & Mechatronic Systems  
University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), Australia**


**February 2012**

## **CERTIFICATION OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a similar degree nor has it been submitted as a part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any assistance that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all the information sources and literature used are referenced in the thesis

February 2012

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Sayed Ahmed Royel', written in a cursive style.

Sayed Ahmed Royel

## **Acknowledgements**

At last, I come to my single unedited part of the thesis. It may appear in the first page, but it was actually the last to be written. It is meant to acknowledge all the wonderful people who made it possible for me.

I am greatly thankful and indebted to my supervisor, Associate professor Quang Ha for his kind support, patience and guidance in all aspects of my research activities. It is great having his signature on the front pages! I want to give thanks for all of my caring and supportive teachers like you. Also I cannot thank enough to Professor Bijan Samali for his invaluable support during my candidature here at the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS).

I greatly owe my indebtedness to all the articles authors listed in the bibliography of this thesis.

I would like to thank to my colleague Herman Bin Wahid for his encouragement and useful advice during my candidature. Thanks are also due to all my colleagues, the masters and PhD students in our research group for their kind support and assistance. It is difficult to remind and include every person who directly or indirectly has co-operated in the development of this thesis. I present my excuses but I will ever thank them.

Hi mom & dad, thanks for being patient with me. Finally, I would very much like to acknowledge the patience, love, encouragement and never-ending prayers provided by my family members: my brothers, my sisters.

# Abstract

A critical aspect of the design of smart structures for buildings and other civil engineering infrastructure is the reduction of vibrations, deflections and forces induced by external disturbances such as earthquakes, strong winds or heavy dynamic loads. This research work focuses on the design of a second-order sliding mode controller for vibration control using Magneto-rheological fluid (MR) dampers integrated in smart structures to sustain external earthquakes or dynamic loadings. Following comprehensive surveys on structural control and recent earthquakes scenarios around the globe, this work presents an effective control system for suppression of structural vibrations. The advantages of these structures come from the use of semiactive devices for the fail safe operations and low energy consumption.

MR dampers are increasingly employed in structural control applications owing to many feasible advantages for mitigation of dynamic effects caused by external disturbances. However, the control of MR dampers is hindered by their nonlinear force-displacement and hysteresis force-velocity responses which usually affect controllability. On the other hand, the required yielding force to suppress structural vibrations results from the magnetisation of the fluid particle suspension in the damper housing via the controlled current. To robustly control the dampers' magnetisation current, the sliding mode methodology is adopted. In the context of structural control, a sliding mode controller is an attractive candidate for semiactive control of quake-induced structures in face of uncertainties.

In most of MR damper controllers developed so far, the damping force is quite often derived as the control signal, while the damper current is obtained via a secondary current-control loop. In this study, the controlled current for MR-dampers is directly generated by using second-order sliding mode controllers with the aims to satisfy the control constraints, retain strong robustness



and remove chattering. The higher-order sliding mode idea is to drive to zero not only the sliding function of the state variables but also higher-order time derivatives of the sliding function. The effectiveness of the second-order sliding mode controller is verified, in simulation, on a benchmark three storey building models subject to excitation of various scaled earthquake records.

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Contents.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>xi</b>

## 1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation.....	1
1.2 Objective.....	3
1.3 Contribution of the Thesis.....	4
1.4 Thesis Layout.....	5

## 2 Literature Review

2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Smart Structures and Materials-Concepts & Theory.....	8
2.2.1 Smart Structures.....	8
2.2.2 Smart Materials.....	9
2.3 Structural Control versus Ductility Demand.....	10
2.4 Structural Control Concepts .....	12
2.4.1 Passive, Active, Hybrid, and Semi-active Control.....	12
2.4.1.1 Passive Control Systems.....	13
2.4.1.2 Active Control Systems.....	20

2.4.1.3 Semi-active Control Systems.....	21
2.4.1.4 Hybrid Control Systems.....	30
2.4.2 Type of Control.....	32
2.5 Control of MR Dampers.....	32
2.6.1 Sliding Mode Control.....	33
2.6 Summary.....	36

### 3 Learning from Earthquakes

3.1 Introduction.....	37
3.2 Pacific Rim.....	39
3.2 Earthquakes: Characteristic.....	41
3.2.1 Earthquake Measurement.....	41
3.2.1.1 Earthquake Intensity.....	41
3.2.1.2 Earthquake Magnitude.....	42
3.2.2 Magnitude, Ground motion & Energy.....	44
3.2.3 Factors Affecting Earthquake Ground motion.....	45
3.3 Frequency Occurrence of Earthquakes.....	46
3.4 Two Christchurch Earthquakes-Sequence of 2010-2011.....	48
3.4.1 The 2010 Christchurch Earthquake.....	48
3.4.2 The 2011 Christchurch Earthquake.....	51
3.4.2.1 Strong Ground Motion Records.....	53
3.4.2.2 Asymmetric Acceleration Waveforms Records.....	54
3.4.2.3 Comparison of Christchurch 2011 & Previous Earthquakes	54
3.4.3 Previous Canterbury Earthquakes.....	56
3.5 The 2011 Tohoku Earthquake-Tsunami.....	57
3.5.1 Previous Earthquakes-Tsunamis, Japan.....	58
3.5.2 The 2011 Tohoku Tsunami.....	59
3.5.3 Economic Impacts.....	60
3.5.4 Acceleration & Velocity Waveforms.....	62
3.5.5 Comparison of Tohoku Earthquake & Previous Earthquakes.....	64

3.6 Summary.....	65
------------------	----

## 4 Magneto-rheological Damper

4.1 Introduction.....	66
4.2 MR Fluid Dampers.....	67
4.3 Types of Friction.....	72
4.3.1 Hysteresis.....	72
4.3.2 Coulomb Friction.....	73
4.3.3 Viscous Friction.....	73
4.3.4 Stiction.....	74
4.3.5 Stribeck Effect.....	74
4.3.6 Stick-slip Motion.....	75
4.4 Models of MR damper.....	75
4.4.1 Dynamic Models.....	76
4.4.1.1 Dahl Model.....	76
4.4.1.2 LuGre Model.....	77
4.4.1.3 Bingham Model.....	77
4.4.1.4 Bouc-Wen Model.....	80
4.4.1.5 Modified Bouc-Wen Model.....	82
4.5. Summary.....	86

## 5 Structural Control Approaches

5.1 Introduction.....	87
5.2 A Three Storey Building Structure.....	88
5.3. An Integrated $n$ -th Storey Building- MR damper System.....	91
5.4 MR damper Model.....	94
5.4.1 Static Hysteresis Model.....	94
5.4.2 Dynamic Friction Model.....	95
5.5 Controller Design.....	96



5.6 Summary..... 102

**6 Result & Discussions**

6.1 Introduction..... 103

6.2 Earthquake Excitation..... 104

6.3 System Set-up..... 106

6.3 Evaluation Criteria for the Control Performance/ Analytical Analysis..... 108

6.4 Controlled Responses..... 110

    6.4.1 SOSM: Dynamic Friction Model..... 110

    6.4.2 SOSM: Static Hysteresis Model..... 124

6.5 Summary..... 135

**7 Future Work & Conclusion**

7.1 Summary..... 136

7.2 Future Work..... 137

7.3 Conclusion..... 138

**Appendix A Numerical Values of the Parameters..... 139**

**Appendix B Simulink Models..... 142**

**References..... 145**

## List of Figures

Figures	Page
Figure 1.1 Passive, active & semi-active control system.....	3
Figure 2.1 Smart structures.....	8
Figure 2.2 A block diagrammatic view of a smart structure system.....	9
Figure 2.3 Schematic illustration of ductility demand, base isolation and dynamic control.....	11
Figure 2.4 Classification of structural control devices.....	13
Figure 2.5 Block diagram of passive control system.....	14
Figure 2.6 Tuned mass dampers.....	15
Figure 2.7 Examples of application of tuned mass dampers.....	16
Figure 2.8 Schematic diagram of TLD & TLCD.....	17
Figure 2.9 X-plate metallic dampers.....	18
Figure 2.10 Pall friction damper in a cross-brace.....	19
Figure 2.10 Pall friction dampers in a single diagonal bracing and chevron bracing, inverted.....	19
Figure 2.12 Examples of application of viscous dampers to bridges.....	20
Figure 2.13 Block diagram of active control system.....	21
Figure 2.14 AMD control system.....	23
Figure 2.15 AMD based control of Kyobashi Seiwa Building.....	23
Figure 2.16 Block diagram of semi-active control system.....	24
Figure 2.17 Schematic diagram of variable orifice damper.....	24
Figure 2.18 First full-scale implementation of smart damping in USA.....	25
Figure 2.19 Schematic diagram of variable friction damper.....	26
Figure 2.20 Schematic diagram of tuned liquid column damper.....	26

Figure 2.21 Schematic diagram of controllable fluid damper.....	27
Figure 2.22: MR damper installation on the Dongting Lake Bridge, China .....	28
Figure 2.23: Base-isolated building installed with 40-ton MR fluid damper .....	29
Figure 2.24: Semi-active hydraulic damper .....	29
Figure 2.25: Yokohama Landmark Tower and HMD.....	30
Figure 2.26 Schematic diagram of passive, active & hybrid mass damper system.	31
Figure 2.27 Schematic diagram of structural control problem.....	33
Figure 2.28 Second order sliding mode on the line.....	34
Figure 3.1 The Pacific Ring of Fire.....	39
Figure 3.2 New Zealand plate boundaries.....	40
Figure 3.3 Massive earthquake since 1900 on the world seismicity map.....	40
Figure 3.4 Map and fault plane diagram.....	46
Figure 3.5 Example of liquefaction & flooding.....	49
Figure 3.6 Example of fault surface break.....	50
Figure 3.7 Example of damaged bridge & building, Christchurch earthquake 2010	50
Figure 3.8 Damaged buildings, Christchurch earthquake 2011.....	52
Figure 3.9 Near-field velocity waveforms for several past earthquakes.....	53
Figure 3.10 Acceleration response spectra of record in Fig 3.9.....	54
Figure 3.11 Acceleration waveforms of large amplitude vertical records.....	55
Figure 3.12 A history of large earthquakes.....	58
Figure 3.13 Tsunami.....	60
Figure 3.14 Damaged residential area, Tohoku earthquake 2011.....	62
Figure 3.15 Acceleration waveforms & velocity waveforms.....	63
Figure 3.16 Velocity waveforms & Velocity response spectrum.....	65
Figure 4.1 Orientation of the iron particles without and with a magnetic field.....	67
Figure 4.2 MR fluid damper.....	68
Figure 4.3 Large scale 20-ton MR damper.....	69
Figure 4.4 Schematic diagram of MR damper.....	69
Figure 4.5 Example of hysteric behaviour.....	72



Figure 4.6 Coulomb friction model.....	73
Figure 4.7 Viscous friction.....	73
Figure 4.8 Viscous friction.....	74
Figure 4.9 Stribeck effect.....	74
Figure 4.10 Model of stick slip motion.....	75
Figure 4.11 Friction force versus displacement.....	77
Figure 4.12 Bingham model.....	79
Figure 4.13 Response of the Bingham model.....	79
Figure 4.14 Extended Bingham model of MR damper.....	80
Figure 4.15 Bouc-Wen model of MR damper.....	81
Figure 4.16 Modified Bouc-Wen model of MR damper.....	83
Figure 5.1 Deflected three storey building structure.....	88
Figure 5.2 An isolated FMD of a three storey building structure.....	89
Figure 5.3 A schematic of a $n$ -storey building-MR damper system.....	93
Figure 5.4 Damper differential configuration.....	95
Figure 5.5 Block diagram of anti windup scheme.....	101
Figure 6.1 Earthquake excitations (scaled down).....	105
Figure 6.2 Kobe responses (Dynamic model).....	114
Figure 6.3 Northridge responses.....	117
Figure 6.4 El-Centro responses.....	119
Figure 6.5 Hachinohe responses.....	122
Figure 6.6 Kobe responses (Static hysteresis model).....	128
Figure 6.7 Hachinohe responses.....	130
Figure 6.8 Random excitation responses.....	132
Figure 6.9 Sinusoidal excitation responses.....	134



## List of Tables

Tables	Page
Table 3.1 Notable earthquakes 2001-2011, $M_w > 6.0$ .....	38
Table 3.2 Magnitude versus Ground motion & Energy.....	45
Table 3.3 Number of earthquakes worldwide for 2001-2011.....	47
Table 3.4 Frequency of occurrence of earthquakes.....	48
Table 3.5 Comparatives earthquake statistics.....	55
Table 3.6 Previous Canterbury earthquakes.....	56
Table 3.7 Estimated costs from great Japan earthquake-tsunami.....	61
Table 3.8 The 2011 Tohoku earthquake & 1995 Kobe Earthquake.....	64
Table 4.1 Typical MR and ER fluid properties.....	71
Table 4.2 MR damper modelling approaches.....	84
Table 6.1 Comparisons of the evaluation ratios.....	123
Table 6.2 Comparisons of the evaluation ratios.....	135
Table A2.1 Parameters for the MR damper model.....	140